

# Principles for Evaluating Effectiveness of Capping versus Dredging Remedies for Contaminated Sediment

Dr. Michael R. Palermo
USACE Waterways Experiment Station

Presented at

**EPA Forum on Managing Contaminated Sediments at Hazardous Waste Sites** 

May 30-June 1, 2001 - Hilton Old Town Alexandria



### Sediment Remediation Alternatives

"A Fourth Environmental Medium"

- No Action
- Monitored Natural Recovery
- In-Situ Capping
- In-Situ Treatment
- Dredging with Containment
  - CDFs, CADs, or Licensed Landfills
- Dredging with Treatment and Disposal





## **NCP Screening Criteria**

#### Threshold Criteria

- Overall Protection of HH and Environment
- Compliance with ARARs

#### • Balancing Criteria

- Implementability
- Short Term Effectiveness
- Long Term Effectiveness and Permanence
- Reduction in Toxicity Mobility and Volume through Treatment
- Cost

#### Modifying Criteria

- State Acceptance
- Community Acceptance



## Effectiveness – First things that come to mind

- Capping
  - Will it work?
  - Will it stay in place?
- Dredging
  - Can I get it all out?
  - Will I resuspend too much?



• GOOD QUESTIONS, BUT THERE'S MORE TO IT.



#### 10 Principles for Effective Sediment Remedies


- All decisions should be risk-based
- Control sources
- Set realistic RAOs, RGs, and CULs
- Compare effectiveness of options on an equal footing
- Evaluate Spatial and Temporal aspects of exposure
- Tailor operations to achieve Short Term Effectiveness
- Design for Long Term Effectiveness and Permanence
- Develop site-specific, project-specific, and sediment specific remedies
- Optimize effectiveness by combining options
- Monitor to document effectiveness




#### All decisions should be risk-based

- Risk reduction is the overall objective
- Baseline risk assessment
- Incremental risk reduction
- Present risk and Future risk
- Comparative risk assessments for remedies

#### **Effects Assessment**







### **Control sources**

- Sources should be fully characterized
- Source controls should be considered the first component of the remedy
- Source control component should be in place prior to other components





### Set realistic RAOs, RGs, and CULs

- Remedial Action Objectives (RAOs)
  - Specific to receptors
  - Example RAO Reduce cancer risk for fishers
- Remediation Goals (RGs)
  - Tied to receptors and pathways
  - Example RG tissue level in benthic biota
- Cleanup levels (CULs)
  - Consider NCP Criteria
  - Example CUL sediment concentration in biologically active zone



## Compare effectiveness of options on an equal footing

- A definite challenge
- All components of the remedy must be considered
- Evaluate effectiveness and permanence over comparable time periods
- Comparative Risk Assessment for Remedy **Options**



## Evaluate Spatial and Temporal aspects of exposure

- Most sites have aerial and vertical COC gradients
- Consider background and proximate area
- Surficial sediment layers present on-going risk
- Risk is proportional to area of surficial contamination
- Deeper buried sediments present potential future risk
- Not all contamination can or should be remediated
- Contamination gradients change over time
- Risk is proportional to the time of exposure
- Dredging or capping "restarts the clock"



### Tailor operations to achieve Short Term Effectiveness

- Capping
  - Resuspension
  - Mixing
  - Consolidation
- Dredging/ Treatment/ Disposal
  - Resuspension
  - Residual
  - Disposal Releases/ emissions
- Accept short term sacrifices for long term gains
- Place in context with other on-going processes







## Design for Long Term Effectiveness and Permanence

#### Capping

- Design to maintain CULs
- Erosion
- Seismic stability
- Groundwater flow
- Long term diffusion

#### Dredging and Disposal

- Target for mass removal or to achieve CULs
- Disposal site releases and emissions
- Permanence of controls

#### Design for episodic events appropriately





## Develop site-specific, projectspecific, and sediment specific remedies

- Project Specific
  - regulatory framework, volume, area, thickness, etc.
- Site Specific
  - water depth, hydrodynamics, climate, infrastructure, proximate resources
- Sediment Specific
  - presence of debris, physical properties, COCs



## Optimize effectiveness by combining options

- Combinations often most acceptable to all parties
- Combinations provide a balance of effectiveness and costs
- Combinations help offset disadvantages of respective single options
- Examples
  - Monitored Natural Recovery (MNR) for larger adjacent areas
  - Dredging hotspots combined with capping adjacent areas
  - Dredging followed by thin capping of residuals




#### Monitor to document success

- Historically, few sediment remedies have been adequately monitored
- Capping
  - Fewer capping remedies selected
  - Long time periods required to confirm effectiveness
- Dredging
  - On the order of 30 well documented projects
  - Effectiveness of the removal easy to document
  - Long time periods required to confirm disposal site effectiveness
- Deliberate effort is needed to build a base of field experiences



## Tools for Evaluating Effectiveness

- Effects-based testing
- Models
- Effects Databases
- Design Guidance
- Comparative Risk Assessments
- Field Monitoring








## Case Study: NY/NJ Harbor

Hazard Quotients for 2,3,7,8-TCDD in Fish





#### 10 Principles for Effective Sediment Remedies

- All decisions should be risk-based
- Control sources
- Set realistic RAOs, RGs, and CULs
- Compare effectiveness of options on an equal footing
- Evaluate Spatial and Temporal aspects of exposure
- Tailor operations to achieve Short Term Effectiveness
- Design for Long Term Effectiveness and Permanence
- Develop site-specific, project-specific, and sediment specific remedies
- Optimize effectiveness by combining options
- Monitor to document effectiveness



# ERDC/ WES Center for Contaminated Sediments



Website:

http://www.wes.army.mil/el/dots/ccs/index.html

Email:

palermm@wes.army.mil